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## Sequences of the oligonucleotides:

template-I1-5SICS, $\quad 5^{\prime}$-d(AAC 5SICS GGC GCC GTG GTC)-3’<br>template-E1-NaM, 5 '-d(AG NaM GCG CCG TGG T)-3'<br>template-E2-NaM, $5^{\prime}$-d(TTC NaM GCG CCG TGG C)-3'<br>template-E2-5SICS, ${ }^{\prime}$ '-d(TTC 5SICS GCG CCG TGG C)-3'<br>template-E3-NaM, 5’-d(TTG NaM GCG CCG TGG T)-3’<br>primer-I1:<br>primer-E1:<br>5'-d(GAC CAC GGC GC)-3'<br>primer-E2: $\quad 5^{\prime}$ 'd(GCC ACG GCG C)-3'<br>primer-E2-5SICS: $\quad 5^{\prime}$-d(GCC ACG GCG C 5SICS)-3'

## Crystallization conditions

KTQ $_{\text {dssics: }}$ : The binary complex KTQ $_{\text {dssics }}$ was obtained by incubating purified KlenTaq with primer-I1/template-I1-5SICS and ddCTP in a molar ratio of 1:1.2:5 in the presence of 20 $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}$. The final concentration of KlenTaq was $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$. Setups were made using the sitting drop vapor diffusion method by mixing protein/primer-template/ddCTP and reservoir solution in a $1: 1$ ratio. Crystals were obtained with the following reservoir solution: $20 \%$ PEG 8000, 0.1 M Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,0.2 \mathrm{M}$ magnesium formate, $20 \%$ glycerol. The N-terminal amino acid 293 and the loop between residues 647 and 659 were not modeled due to disorder.

KTQ $_{\text {dsSICs-dNaMTP: }}$ : The ternary complex $\mathrm{KTQ}_{\text {dSSICs-dNaMTP }}$ was obtained by incubating KlenTaq with primer-I1/template-I1-5SICS and ddCTP in a molar ratio of 1:1.2:2 in the presence of 20 mM MgCl . The final concentration of KlenTaq was $6.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$. Setups were made using the sitting drop vapor diffusion method by mixing protein/primer-template/ddCTP and reservoir solution in a $1: 1$ ratio. Crystals were obtained with the following reservoir solution: $15 \%$ PEG 8000 , 0.1 M Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,0.2 \mathrm{M}$ magnesium formate. For soaking, crystals were transferred into a drop containing the same amounts of protein/primer-template/ddCTP and reservoir solution and 2 mM dNaMTP . Crystals were soaked for 10 days. Before cryo cooling, crystals were consecutively soaked in reservoir solutions containing $10 \%$ and $20 \%$ glycerol.

KTQ(E1) $)_{\text {dNaM-dssics: }}$ : The binary complex KTQ(E1) ${ }_{\mathrm{dNaM}-\mathrm{dSSICs}}$ was obtained by incubating KlenTaq with primer-E1/template-E1-NaM and d5SICSTP in a molar ratio of 1:1.2:5 in the presence of $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}$. Setups were made using the sitting drop vapor diffusion method by mixing KlenTaq/primer-template/d5SICSTP and reservoir solution in a $1: 1$ ratio. The final concentration of KlenTaq was $6.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$. Crystals were obtained with the following reservoir solution: 0.2 M ammonium sulfate, 0.1 M MES pH 6.5, $28 \%$ PEG 5000MME. Crystals were cryoprotected in reservoir solution containing $20 \%$ glycerol before freezing.

KTQ(E2) dNaM-dssics: The binary complex KTQ(E2) ${ }_{\mathrm{dNaM}-\mathrm{dSSICs}}$ was obtained by incubating KlenTaq with primer-E2-5SICS/template-E2-NaM in a molar ratio of 1:1.2 in the presence of 20 $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$. Setups were made using the sitting drop vapor diffusion method by mixing KlenTaq/primer-template and reservoir solution in a $1: 1$ ratio. The final concentration of KlenTaq was $6.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$. Crystals were obtained with the following reservoir solution: 0.2 M ammonium sulfate, 0.1 M MES pH 6.5, 30\% PEG 5000MME.

KTQ(E2) dsSICs-dNaM : The binary complex KTQ(E2) ${ }_{\text {dSSICS-dNaM }}$ was obtained by incubating KlenTaq with primer-E2/template-E2-5SICS and dNaMTP in a molar ratio of 1:1.2:5 in the presence of 20 mM MgCl . Setups were made using the sitting drop vapor diffusion method mixing KlenTaq/primer-template/dNaMTP and reservoir in a 1:1. The final concentration of

KlenTaq was $6.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$. Crystals were obtained with the following reservoir solution: 0.2 M ammonium sulfate, 0.1 M MES pH 6.5, 30\% PEG 5000MME and 20\% glycerol.

KTQ(E3) $)_{\text {dNaM-dsSICs: }}$ : The binary complex KTQ(E3) $)_{d N a M-d 5 S I C S}$ was obtained by incubating KlenTaq with primer-E1/template-E3-NaM and d5SICSTP in a molar ratio of 1:1.5:8 in the presence of $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}$. Setups were made using the hanging drop vapor diffusion method mixing KlenTaq/primer-template/d5SICSTP and reservoir in a 1:1 ratio. The final concentration of KlenTaq was $6.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$. Crystals were obtained with the following reservoir solution: 1.2 M lithium sulfate, $2 \%$ PEG 1000, 50 mM HEPES pH 7.5.


## Figure S1.

Comparison of the templating nucleotide and 5' single-stranded template overhang in $\mathrm{KTQ}_{\mathrm{d} 5 \text { SICs }}$ (turquoise), $\mathrm{KTQ}_{\mathrm{dG}}$ (grey) and $\mathrm{KTQ}_{\mathrm{dNaM}}$ (yellow). (A) d5SICS and dG are positioned at a similar extrahelical position and the O-helix is in an open conformation. (B) The 5' single-stranded template overhang in $\mathrm{KTQ}_{\mathrm{dNaM}}$ is rotated towards the primer/template duplex and dA $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ and $\mathrm{dA}_{\mathrm{n}+2}$ stack on the last base pair. The O-helix is rotated towards the 5 , single stranded template overhang.


Figure S2.
Comparison of $\mathrm{KTQ}_{\text {dSSICS-dNamTP }}$ with open, closed, and partially closed polymerase structures, respectively. The templating nucleotide, bound triphosphate, and Tyr671 are shown as sticks, the O-helix is shown as ribbon, and the base pair in the post-insertion site is shown as lines. (A) Superposition of KTQ ${ }_{\mathrm{d} 5 \text { SICs-dNaMTP }}$ with $\mathrm{KTQ}_{\mathrm{dsSICs}}$. Tyr671 stacks on top of the last base pair in both structures. (B) Superposition of KTQ ${ }_{\mathrm{dsSICS}}$ dNamTP with $\mathrm{KTQ}_{\mathrm{dNaM}-\mathrm{d5SICSTP}}$ (PDB ID: 3SV3). In the closed structure the templating nucleotide is moved inwards and displaces Tyr671. (C) Superposition of KTQ ${ }_{\text {dSSICS-dNaMTP }}$ with KTQ in complex with an AP site in the template and ddATP bound at the O-helix (PDB ID: 3LWL). ${ }^{1}$ Both complexes are partially closed and Y671 is in the templating position. (D) Superposition of $\mathrm{KTQ}_{\mathrm{d} 5 \text { SICS-dNamTP }}$ with the mismatch dGddTTP complex of Bacillus Fragment (BF) (PDB ID: 3HP6). ${ }^{2}$ Both complexes are partially closed but in $\mathrm{BF}_{\mathrm{dG} \text {-ddTTP }} \mathrm{Y} 671$ is displaced by the templating dG.



Figure S3.
Interaction networks near the intercalating base pair: (A,D) KTQ(E1) $)_{\text {dNaM-dsSICs, }}$
 3SZ2). Protein side chains packing or hydrogen-bonding with the unnatural base pair in the postinsertion site are shown as sticks and are labeled. Hydrogen-bonds are shown as black dashes. Watson-Crick hydrogen bonds in the natural dC-ddG pair are shown in brown.


Figure S4.
Interaction of the $5^{\prime}$, single stranded $\mathrm{dG}_{\mathrm{n}}$ template nucleotide and the primer terminus in $\mathrm{KTQ}(\mathrm{E} 1)_{\mathrm{dNaM}-\mathrm{d} 5 \mathrm{SICS}}$ and $\mathrm{KTQ}(\mathrm{E} 3)_{\mathrm{dNaM}-\mathrm{d} 5 \mathrm{SICs}}$.

Table S1. Summary of data collection and refinement statistics

|  | $\mathrm{KTQ}_{\text {dSSICS }}$ | $\mathrm{KTQ}_{\text {dSSICS-dNaMTP }}$ | KTQ(E1) dNaM-dSSICs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PDB ID | 4 CCH | 4C8K | 4C8L |
| Data collection |  |  |  |
| Wavelength ( $\AA$ ) | 1.0000 | 0.99987 | 1.0000 |
| Space group | P3 21 | P3, 21 | $\mathrm{C} 222{ }_{1}$ |
| Cell dimensions |  |  |  |
| $a, b, c(\AA)$ | 114.3, 114.3, 91.6 | 115.0, 115.0, 91.0 | 65.6, 100.5, 204.3 |
| $\alpha, \beta, \gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90, 90, 120 | 90, 90, 120 | 90, 90, 90 |
| Resolution ( $\AA$ ) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 49.5-2.55 (2.7-2.55)* | 48.6-2.16 (2.29-2.16)* | 48.8-1.70 (1.80-1.70)* |
| Total reflections | 205511 (25923) | 227942 (14630) | 492762 (76284) |
| Unique reflections | 22906 (3650) | 34375(3094) | 74923 (11845) |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {meas }}(\%)^{b}$ | 20.4 (144.2) | 9.5 (217.4) | 10.6 (275.8) |
| $I / \sigma I$ | 9.16 (0.65) | 14.44(0.47) | 11.57 (0.85) |
| Completeness (\%) | 99.9 (99.7) | 91.1 (51.2) | 99.7 (98.6) |
| Redundancy | 9.0 (7.1) | 6.6 (4.7) | 6.6 (6.4) |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{1 / 2}{ }^{1}$ (\%) | 99.2 (52.3) | 99.8 (47.8) | 99.9 (49.2) |
| Refinement |  |  |  |
| Resolution ( $\AA$ ) | 43.5-2.55 | 48.6-2.17 | 48.4-1.70 |
| No. reflections | 43559 | 33357 | 74501 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {work }} / \mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}$ | 20.7/26.1 | 18.1/22.1 | 18.9/21.4 |
| Coordinate error ( A$)^{c}$ | 0.42 | 0.25 | 0.37 |
| No. atoms |  |  |  |
| Protein | 8458 | 8650 | 8698 |
| DNA/triphosphate | 795 | 826/49 | 772 |
| Water | 116 | 138 | 274 |
| B-factors |  |  |  |
| Protein | 66.8 | 56.6 | 51.0 |
| DNA/triphosphate | 52.0 | 50.3/77.4 | 50.6 |
| Water | 38.3 | 45.6 | 43.4 |
| R.m.s deviations |  |  |  |
| Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.011 |
| Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 0.770 | 0.870 | 1.239 |
| Ramachandran ${ }^{\text {d }}$ (\%) |  |  |  |
| Favored | 95.04 | 97.77 | 97.23 |
| Allowed | 4.58 | 2.04 | 2.77 |
| Outlier | 0.38 | 0.19 | 0.00 |

Table S1, continued.

|  | KTQ(E2) ${ }_{\text {dNaM-dssics }}$ | KTQ(E2) dSSICS - dNaM $^{\text {den }}$ | KTQ(E3) ${ }_{\text {dNaM-dSSICS }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PDB ID | $4 \mathrm{C8O}$ | 4C8M | 4C8N |
| Data collection |  |  |  |
| Wavelength ( $\AA$ ) | 1.00001 | 1.0000 | 0.97793 |
| Space group | C222 ${ }_{1}$ | C222 ${ }_{1}$ | C 2221 |
| Cell dimensions |  |  |  |
| $a, b, c(\AA)$ | 64.8, 99.2, 203.6 | 65.4, 101.5, 204.5 | 65.6,101.1, 204.3 |
| $\alpha, \beta, \gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90, 90, 90 | 90, 90, 90 | 90, 90, 90 |
| Resolution ( A$)^{a}$ | 48.2-1.75 (1.86-1.75)* | 49.2-1.57 (1.66-1.57)* | 49.1-1.88 (1.99-1.88)* |
| Total reflections | 439052 (70744) | 577762 (53959) | 361542 (48980) |
| Unique reflections | 66214 (10539) | 94841 (14820) | 55373 (8568) |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {meas }}(\%)^{b}$ | 6.5 (216.4) | 6.2 (155.1) | 13.1 (200.5) |
| I/ $\sigma I$ | 17.73 (0.99) | 13.48 (0.78) | 9.1 (0.80) |
| Completeness (\%) | 99.6 (99.2) | 99.5 (97.1) | 99.4 (96.6) |
| Redundancy | 6.6 (6.7) | 6.1 (3.6) | 6.5 (5.7) |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{1 / 2}{ }^{3}$ (\%) | 100.0 (52.7) | 99.9 (53.1) | 99.8 (47.6) |
| Refinement |  |  |  |
| Resolution ( $\AA$ ) | 47.9-1.75 | 49.3-1.57 | 49.1-1.88 |
| No. reflections | 66155 | 94768 | 55305 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {work }} / \mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}$ | 18.5/21.2 | 17.9/20.7 | 19.6/23.2 |
| Coordinate error ( $\AA \mathrm{A}^{\text {c }}$ | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.34 |
| No. atoms |  |  |  |
| Protein | 8680 | 8728 | 8657 |
| DNA | 739 | 770 | 774 |
| Water | 230 | 338 | 203 |
| B-factors |  |  |  |
| Protein | 57.7 | 49.0 | 51.7 |
| DNA | 71.5 | 64.9 | 50.0 |
| Water | 49.3 | 46.2 | 43.5 |
| R.m.s deviations |  |  |  |
| Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) | 0.005 | 0.011 | 0.007 |
| Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 0.964 | 1.283 | 1.062 |
| Ramachandran ${ }^{d}$ (\%) |  |  |  |
| Favored | 97.97 | 98.35 | 98.14 |
| Allowed | 1.84 | 1.28 | 1.48 |
| Outlier | 0.18 | 0.37 | 0.37 |

[^0]
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[^0]:    * Numbers in brackets refer to the highest resolution shell.
    ${ }^{a}$ Resolution value of the structures $4 \mathrm{CCH}, 4 \mathrm{C} 8 \mathrm{~K}, 4 \mathrm{C} 8 \mathrm{~L}, 4 \mathrm{C} 8 \mathrm{O}, 4 \mathrm{C} 8 \mathrm{M}$ and 4 C 8 N at which $\mathrm{I} / \sigma=2$ is $2.90 \AA, 2.28 \AA, 1.85 \AA, 1.90 \AA, 1.70 \AA$ and $2.05 \AA$, respectively.
    ${ }^{b}$ for definition of $\mathrm{R}_{\text {meas }}$, see Diederichs \& Karplus, $1997^{4}$
    ${ }^{c}$ maximum likelihood based (as determined by PHENIX ${ }^{5}$ )
    ${ }^{d}$ as determined by MolProbity ${ }^{6}$

